

1

2

3

1

5

6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 RONALD KWAME GAINES,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:09-CV-02326-KJD-RJJ

12 | v.

ORDER

13 | CHERYL BURSON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Denial of Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Response to Motion to Dismiss (#37). Defendant Burson filed a response in opposition (#38). Also before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (#39). Having read and considered the motion to strike it is denied.

The Court finds that the Magistrate's Order (# 36) is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). This Court does not have a definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been made. See Weeks v. Samsung Heavy Indus. Co. Ltd., 126 F.3d 926, 943 (7th Cir. 1997). Plaintiff does not need discovery to respond to the legal issues raised in Defendant Burson's motion to dismiss. The consideration of affidavits and the grievances filed with prison officials does not convert the motion to one for summary judgment. In considering motions to dismiss based on an alleged failure to exhaust administrative remedies, the

1 Court may consider matters outside the allegations of the pleadings. Therefore, Plaintiff's
2 Objections are denied. Plaintiff must file an opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss within
3 fourteen (14) days of the entry of this order, or the Court will dismiss the complaint.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Denial of
5 Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Response to Motion to Dismiss (#37) are **DENIED**;

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (#39) is **DENIED**.

7 DATED this 21st day of November 2011.

8
9
10 

11 Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26